UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,113	02/26/2002	Shoichi Hirota	500.41256X00	3518	
20457 A NITONIEL L L	7590 01/05/200°	EXAMINER			
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			· NGUYEN, DUNG T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		2871		
		•	MAN DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE		
			01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/082,113	HIROTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dung Nguyen	2871	

Advisory Action .	10/082,113 HIROTA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			•		
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:			• -		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-16 and 18-38.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Inha	2		
		Dung Nauvon			
·		Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871	1		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The device claims do not define over the cited art. Regarding the limitation of "inclined by a predetermined angle", as dicussed during interview, that angle could be zero degree as well. In supporting for that term, Applicants are directed to Komori (US 5344137) and L'Esperance, Jr. (US 5807379) do disclose the inclined angle could be zero (Komori, col. 3, In 64-65 and L'Esperance, Jr., claim 1). In addition, regarding "direction of polarization", Hattori et al. do disclose the reflective typr LCD can be operated in a black mode in the Off state, i.e, light axis of the polarization plate would be in parallel). Applicants' arguments have been fully consisdered, but they are not persuasive.